1	Philip T. Emmons (SBN 124902)	
2	Law Office of Philip T. Emmons 1990 North California Blvd., 8 th Floor	
	Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3742	
3	T: (925) 287-6436 F: (925) 287-6437	
4		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center	
6	Carolyn Collins (SBN 83228)	
7	Nixon Peabody LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111	
8	T: (415) 984-8200	
9	F: (415) 984-8300	
10	Attorneys for Defendants Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. and	
11	North Castle Partners, L.L.C.	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY O	F SAN FRANCISCO
14	CNIMBONIMENTAL DECEARCH	C. N. CCC 11 512010
15	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit	Case No. CGC-11-513819
1	corporation,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT
16	DI-1-1-10CC	JUDGMENT
17	Plaintiff,	
18	v.	
19	ATKINS NUTRITIONALS, INC.,	
20	ATKINS NUTRITIONALS HOLDINGS,	
~	INC., NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS,	
21	L.L.C., and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
	1. INTRODUCTION	
25		and violations of California's Safa Drinking Water
26		ged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water
27	and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Califor	nia Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. (also
28	known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proj	position 65").
	[PROPOSED1 STIP	(1) JLATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
- 1	[======================================	

- 1.2 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a California non-profit corporation acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65, brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 1.3 Defendant Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. is a New York corporation, and Defendant North Castle Partners, L.L.C. is a Delaware corporation. Defendants Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. and North Castle Partners, L.L.C. are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants". For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendants acknowledge that they each employ ten or more persons, and each is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 Defendants and ERC are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".
- 1.5 On September 24, 2010, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served a Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendants. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Notice of Violations contains allegations that Defendants, without giving a required clear and reasonable warning, have exposed and continue to expose individuals in California to lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, by manufacturing, marketing, distributing and/or selling the product Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. Day Break Peanut Butter Fudge Crisp Bar (the "Covered Product"). Neither the California Attorney General nor any other public enforcer has filed suit against Defendants with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 More than 60 days after service of the Notice of Violations, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint, based on the Notice of Violations, contains allegations that Defendants have exposed and continue to expose persons in California who use and/or handle the Covered Product to the chemical lead in excess of the exposure levels allowed under Proposition 65 without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. Defendants deny all material allegations contained in the Notice of Violations and the

Complaint, assert numerous affirmative defenses to the allegations of violations, and specifically deny that the Covered Product requires Proposition 65 warnings or otherwise harm any person.

- 1.7 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession or waiver of a defense by Defendants, individually or jointly, as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any alleged violation of Proposition 65.
- 1.9 Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceedings. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 1.11 The only product covered by this Consent Judgment is the Covered Product, and the only chemical covered by this Consent Judgment is the chemical lead as specifically related to the Covered Product only.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Product

On and after the Effective Date, Defendants shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in California, distributing into California, or directly selling to any

 consumer located in California any of the Covered Product that contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per bar, after subtracting out the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in Table 3.2 below. The term "distributing into California" means to ship the Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell or provide the Covered Product to any person or entity Defendants know intends to or will ship the Covered Product into or sell the Covered Product in California.

3.2 Calculation of Lead Content

For the purposes of Section 3.1 above and only for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" in the Covered Product is the sum of the amounts of "naturally occurring" lead supplied by the quantity of each ingredient listed in Table 3.2 that is present in each bar. For each ingredient, the amount of "naturally occurring" lead is listed in Table 3.2 in micrograms of "naturally occurring" lead per gram of the ingredient contained in each bar of the Covered Product. Defendants shall provide ERC, under the terms of the confidentiality agreement entered into by the Parties, with information on the quantity in grams (rounded to the nearest one tenth of a gram) of each of the ingredients listed in Table 3.2 contained in each bar of the Covered Product.

TABLE 3.2

Ingredient	Amount of Lead Per Gram of Ingredient Deemed Naturally Occurring for Purposes of this Consent Judgment Only
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 micrograms
Cocoa Powder	1.0 micrograms

3.3 Testing

(a) Beginning on the Effective Date and continuing for 24 months after the Effective Date, at least once every three months thereafter, Defendants shall test for lead content a randomly selected sample bar of the Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the enduser) from the most recently manufactured lot in the possession of the Defendants. Each sample to be tested shall be randomly selected using a sound statistical sampling plan, and shall be

identified in Defendant's request to the laboratory for testing as being submitted pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

- (b) Testing for lead content under Section 3.3 shall be performed using closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS); or heat-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS); or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties.
- (c) Should testing results conducted pursuant to paragraph 3.3(b) indicate that there is more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per bar, after subtracting out the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in Table 3.2, Defendants shall randomly select three (3) additional sample bars of the Covered Product from the most recently manufactured lot in the possession of the Defendants and the test results from those three (3) bars shall be averaged together for purposes of determining the amount of lead allowed pursuant to paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2.
- (d) Defendants shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.3 to be automatically sent by the testing laboratory directly to ERC within ten working days after completion of that testing. These reports shall be deemed and treated as confidential information.
- (e) Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendants' ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Product, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- (f) The testing and sampling methodology set forth in Section 3.3 is a result of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for the purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving the issues in this Action, including future compliance with Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any purpose or in any other matter, except for the purposes of determining future compliance with this Consent Judgment.

3.4 Products in the Stream of Commerce

The injunctive relief set forth in Section 3 shall not apply to any of the Covered Product

that Defendants put into the stream of commerce before the Effective Date. Defendants shall provide ERC with the last lot number and expiration date for the Covered Product in the stream of commerce on the Effective Date.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 Total Payment

In full and final satisfaction of civil penalties, payment in lieu of further civil penalties, ERC's expenses and costs of litigation, and ERC's attorney fees, Defendants shall, within 10 days after the Effective Date, issue a single check in the amount of \$105,000 ("Total Settlement Amount"), made payable to "Environmental Research Center – ERC Escrow Account", and send the check by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, directly to ERC at the following address:

Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Defendant shall also issue a single IRS Federal Tax Form 1099 for the above payment to ERC. Sections 4.2-4.5 below describe the agreed partition of the Total Settlement Amount.

4.2 Civil Penalty

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$13,855 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$10,391.25) of the civil penalties to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c), and a copy of the transmittal letter will be sent to Defendant's counsel. ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$3,463.75) of the civil penalty.

4.3 Payment in Lieu of Further Civil Penalties

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$41,565 shall be considered a payment to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties for activities such as (1) funding the investigating, researching and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) funding grants to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to public health; (3) funding the ERC Eco Scholarship Fund for high school students in California interested in

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

pursuing an education in the field of environmental sciences; (4) funding ERC's Operation Education Program designed to provide funding to educators in the State of California public school system for creative and effective environment and environmental sciences teaching projects; (5) funding ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program to work with companies not subject to Proposition 65 to reformulate their products to reduce potential consumer exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (6) funding ERC's RxY Program to assist various medical personnel to provide testing assistance to independent distributors of various products; (7) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for lead content; (8) funding post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; (9) funding to maintain ERC's database of lead-free products, Proposition 65-compliant products and contaminated products; (10) funding to track and catalog Proposition 65-compliant, contamination-free sources of ingredients used in the products ERC tests; and (11) funding the continued day to day business of enforcement of Proposition 65 matters which address contaminated ingestible products, similar

4.4 Reimbursement of Expenses and Costs

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$22,580 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable investigation costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4.5 Attorney Fees

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$27,000 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its attorney fees.

5. **COSTS AND FEES**

Except as expressly set forth herein in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses in this Action.

6. RELEASE

6.1 ERC, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants, and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, representatives, parents,

subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, successors, assigns and attorneys, and suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Product ("Released Parties"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

- 6.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice of Violations.
- 6.3 ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys in connection with the Notice of Violations or this Action.
- 6.4 Nothing in this release is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Defendants' products other than the Covered Product.

7. MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice, prepare, and file a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations § 3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all Defendants and upon the California Attorney General's Office. Defendants and ERC shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Court for approval.
- 7.2 If, after service of the Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment, the California Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment or files an opposition to the motion, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner prior to the hearing on the motion. If the concern of the California Attorney General is not resolved prior to the hearing on the motion, any Party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties in accordance with Paragraph

17 below and notice to the California Attorney General's Office, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.

7.3 This Consent Judgment shall be effective only after it has been entered by the Court. Otherwise, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any other proceeding for any purpose.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

9. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon a regularly noticed motion of any Party to modify the Consent Judgment and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

10. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT; GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to any Party's compliance with the terms and/or conditions of this Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court, the Party seeking compliance of another Party shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute by conferring with the other Party in person, by telephone or by correspondence before seeking relief from the Court. If the dispute is not resolved after such an attempt, this Consent Judgment may be enforced in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.4 or any other valid provision of the law. The prevailing party in any such dispute brought to this Court for resolution shall be awarded all reasonable costs and attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief the other party was agreeable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the

dispute that is the subject of such an enforcement proceeding.

11. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that, after entry of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, any of the provisions hereof are subsequently held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

12. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California.

13. RELATION TO OTHER ACTIONS

- 13.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall waive, impair or prejudice any right, remedy or defense that Released Parties, individually or jointly, may have based on prior or future judgments, settlements or legal proceedings, including, but not limited to, the Consent Judgment entered in *Edgerton v. Conopco, Inc., et al.*, California Superior Court, Los Angeles, Case No. BC262906. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendants to this Consent Judgment.
- 13.2 This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect on Defendants for the Covered Product or other products distributed or sold by Defendants to consumers outside the State of California.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective legal counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of this Consent Judgment. It shall be conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces any and all prior agreements or understandings, written or oral, with regard to the matters set forth herein. No other agreements or understandings not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

17. NOTICES

All notices required by this Consent Judgment to be given to any Party shall be sent by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to the following:

FOR ERC:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Philip T. Emmons Law Office of Philip T. Emmons 1990 North California Blvd., 8th Floor Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3742

Karen A. Evans Law Office of Karen A. Evans 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116

FOR DEFENDANTS:

Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. Attn: General Counsel 1050 17th Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80265

28

1	North Castle Partners, L.L.C.
	Attn: Louis Marinaccio 183 East Putnam Avegue
2	Greenwich, CT 06830
3	Carolyn Collins
4	Nixon Peabody LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
5	San Francisco, CA 94111
6	
7	18. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT
8	Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is
9	fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment
10	on behalf of that Party, to emer into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party,
11	and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent
12	Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands
13	this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment of
14	behalf of that Party.
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED;
16	Dated: 3/5/2012 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
17	1 (Kullet to the total of the t
	By: State Heatington
10	Executive Director
19	
20	Dated; ATKINS NUTRITIONALS, INC.
21	Ву:
22	Name;
23	Title:
24	
25	Dated: NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS, L.L.C.
z€	Ву:
27	Name:
28	Title:
	(12) [PROPUSED] STIPBLATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	North Castle Partners, L.L.C. Attn: Louis Marinaccio
2	183 East Putnam Avenue
3	Greenwich, CT 06830
4	Carolyn Collins
	Nixon Peabody LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
5	San Francisco, CA 94111
6 7	18. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT
8	Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is
9	fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment
10	on behalf of that Party, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party,
11	and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent
12	Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands
13	this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment or
14	behalf of that Party.
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
16	Dated: ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
17	By:
18	Chris Heptinstall
19	Executive Director
20	
	Dated: July 31, 2012 ATKINS NUTRITIONALS, INC.
21	By: Elentellany
22	Name: Earle D. Bellanny
23	Title: Vice President - General Coursel
24	Cytymra,
25	Dated: NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS, L.L.C.
26	By:
27	Name:
28	Title:
	(12) [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	North Castle Partners, L.L.C.
2	Attn: Louis Marinaccio 183 East Putnam Avenue
3	Greenwich, CT 06830
4	Carolyn Collins Nixon Peabody LLP
5	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
6	San Francisco, CA 94111
7	18. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT
8	Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is
9	fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment
10	on behalf of that Party, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party,
11	and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent
12	Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands
13	this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment on
14	behalf of that Party.
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
16	Dated: ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
17	Ву:
18	Chris Heptinstall Executive Director
19	Executive Director
20	Dated: ATKINS NUTRITIONALS, INC.
2.1	Ву:
22	Name:
23	Title:
24	7/ 1
5	Dated: 7 30 12 NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS, L.L.C.
6	Ву:
7	Name: Louis N'aginaccio
8	Title: Manying Sirector
	(12)
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

	· ·
1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
3	Dated: 8/6/12 LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS
4	2/H-1C
5	By: Philip T. Emmons
6	Attorney for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
7	,
9	Dated: 2 August 2012 NIXON PEABODY LLP
10	By: Caroly Collins
11	Carolyn Collins
12	Attorney for Defendants ATKINS NUTRITIONALS, INC. and NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS, L.L.C.
13	NORTH CASTLE PARTNERS, L.L.C.
], 4	
15	ORDER AND JUDGMENT
16	
17	Based on the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
18	III IN SCHORIBERRIO ALDRINGRII ANID INCOMPO
18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
1.9	
20	Dated:
19 20 21	
19 20 21	Dated:
1.9 20 21 22	Dated:
1.9 20 21 22 23	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
220 221 222 23 24	Dated:
20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
119 220 221 222 23 24 25 26	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
119 220 221 222 23 24 25 26	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS

208 Normandy Lane Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Tel: (925) 349-4029 E-Mail: p-emmons@hotmail.com

September 24, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President North Castle Partners, LLC 183 E Putnam Ave Greenwich, CT 06830

The Corporation Trust Company (North Castle Partners, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

CT Corporation System
(North Castle Partners, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process)
818 W. 7th St.
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Current CEO or President Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc. 1050 17th St Ste 1000 Denver, CO 80265

National Corporate Research Ltd.

(As Registered Agent for Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc.)

10 E. 40th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10016

Current CEO or President Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. 1050 17th St Ste 1000 Denver, CO 80265

The Corporation Company
(Atkins Nutritionals, Inc.'s Registered Agent
for Service of Process)
1675 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, CO 80202

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Offfice of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The names of the Companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

North Castle Partners, LLC Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc. Atkins Nutritionals, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Atkins Nutritionals Inc. Day Break Peanut Butter Fudge Crisp Bar - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation. ERC's address 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained me in connection with this matter, and all communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Philip T. Emmons, Esq.

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to North Castle Partners, LLC, Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc., Atkins Nutritionals, Inc., and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by North Castle Partners, LLC, Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc., and Atkins Nutritionals, Inc.

I, Philip T. Emmons, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who
 have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the
 subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Itti Kum

Dated: September 24, 2010

Philip T. Emmons

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President North Castle Partners, LLC 183 E Putnam Ave Greenwich, CT 06830

The Corporation Trust Company (North Castle Partners, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

CT Corporation System (North Castle Partners, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 818 W. 7th St. Los Angeles, CA 90017 Current CEO or President Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc. 1050 17th St Ste 1000 Denver, CO 80265

National Corporate Research Ltd. (Atkins Nutritionals Holdings, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 10 E. 40th Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10016

Current CEO or President Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. 1050 17th St Ste 1000 Denver, CO 80265

The Corporation Company (Atkins Nutritionals, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1675 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, CO 80202

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 24, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on September 24, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanisłaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113